ENERGY TRACK&TRACE

Energy Track and Trace

Partner meeting

European partnership on next generation energy tracking.

May 19th 2022





Agenda

- Welcoming of new-comers
- Federated network concept and architecture paper release
- Development time-line(s) and prototype functionalities
- EU-regulation update
- Energy system impact of granular certification
- Cross-border exchange mechanism (options)

Next Step... Reserve already now September 15th





"Powered by Energy Track & Trace"



Who is Energy Track & Trace?



Trilateral TSO set-up to provide the tracking system

Purpose: Development of a **granular tracking system** (federated network design) that is applicable on European scale and includes cross-border exchange.







Denmark

East Germany and Belgium

Estonia

And a strong group of partners

Corporate consumers who want to explore 24/7 sourcing strategies.

Suppliers and project developers who are providing clean energy and want to offer 24/7 green contracts and SLAs.

Service providers that offer market solutions and matching algorithms.





VISION

By 2025 the Granular Certificates (GCs) are playing an active part in reaching the goals of the green transition in Europe.

In 2030 GCs will be the leading method for documenting the origin of Energy throughout Europe.

SCOPE

Design a system architecture for reliable and trustworthy granular energy tracking (federated network)

Develop and test a prototype system(s), based on the needs of our customers

Offer a cross-border exchange mechanism for GCs.







Federated network concept and architecture paper

ETT Multiparty system



Topologies



Topology == [ruleset]

- General topology = [temporal matching, geographical matching, EU wide regulatory requirements]
- Local topology = [local rules, business rules, and regulatory requirements]
- The general topology is agreed upon by members of Energy Track and Trace.
- The local rules are decided by the instance owners and operators.

Energy Track and Trace - Yellowpaper

An overview of the concepts of the Energy Track and Trace infrastructure and the architecture required to deliver Granular Certification of energy at market resolution.

Energy Track and Trace "Yellowpaper" release 25/5/2022.

Energy Track and Trace (energytrackandtrace.com)





Development timeline(s) and prototype functionalities



Development of demonstrator has started





Development of demonstrator has started





Some feature examples from the Danish Origins Platform (not yet released) Login page



nergy origin

Bruger-id	□ Husk mig	
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Adgangskode	Glemt kode?	Login Energy Origin
		The current version of Energy Origin can be accessed via NemID company login only.
	Næste	The Energy Origin Platform is under development and new functionalities will be released continuously. The first release of the platform only offers data for companies. Data for private users is intended to form part of one of the next releases.

Some feature examples from the Danish Origins Platform (not yet released) Privacy policy



🔁 ENERGY ORIGIN

Updated 13-12-2021) 1. Introduction When you use Energy Origin - including Energy Origin's page for granting power of attorney to third parties - we collect and process a number of pieces of information about you. n this privacy policy, you can read about what information we collect, their purpose and how we process the information. 2. Data responsibility Energinet DataHub A / S is data responsible for the processing of your personal information. Our contact information s: Sinerginet DataHub A/S 'onne Kjærsvej 65 '999 Fredericia	Privacy Policy		1
 Introduction When you use Energy Origin - including Energy Origin's page for granting power of attorney to third parties - we collect and process a number of pieces of information about you. In this privacy policy, you can read about what information we collect, their purpose and how we process the nformation. 2. Data responsibility Energinet DataHub A / S is data responsible for the processing of your personal information. Our contact information s: Energinet DataHub A/S 'onne Kjærsvej 65 '999 Fredericia	(Updated 13-12-2021)		
n this privacy policy, you can read about what information we collect, their purpose and how we process the nformation. 2. Data responsibility Energinet DataHub A / S is data responsible for the processing of your personal information. Our contact information s: Energinet DataHub A/S Tonne Kjærsvej 65 1999 Fredericia	1. Introduction When you use Energy Origin collect and process a numbe	n - including Energy Origin's page for granting power of attorney to third parties - we er of pieces of information about you.	
2. Data responsibility Energinet DataHub A / S is data responsible for the processing of your personal information. Our contact information s: Energinet DataHub A/S Fonne Kjærsvej 65 1999 Fredericia	In this privacy policy, you car information.	n read about what information we collect, their purpose and how we process the	
Energinet DataHub A/S Tonne Kjærsvej 65 7999 Fredericia	2. Data responsibility Energinet DataHub A / S is d is:	lata responsible for the processing of your personal information. Our contact inform	ation
	Energinet DataHub A/S Tonne Kjærsvej 65 7999 Fredericia		
	Back Accept terms	s	



Address Tonne Kjærsvej 65 7000 Fredericia Danmark CVR: 39 31 50 41

Contact

<u>+45 70 22 28 10</u> datahub@energinet.dk

Some feature examples from the Danish Origins Platform (not yet released) Emissions accounts – based on energy mix





15

Some feature examples from the Danish Origins Platform (not yet released) Consumption details





With a public-private partnership, ProjectZero was in 2007 created to drive Sønderborg's transition to a ZEROcarbon community by 2029. To meet the ambition, the project focuses on different initiatives including energy efficiency and conversion of energy sources into renewables. ProjectZero is already far along the climate journey, and by 2020 the CO2-emission was reduced by 51,80% within the Sønderborg-area.

Go to menti.com and use the code 9707 6947 or scan the QR code





Survey via Mentimeter



- What feature set do you think is most important?
 - API access to services
 - Access via a browser-based user interface
- Do you think users of the platforms will primarily
 - Use the platform directly
 - Use the platform via agent or marketplace services?

Survey via Mentimeter



What feature set do you think is most important?



Do you think users of the platforms will primarily:





EU regulation update



Renewable Energy Directive

- Revision in light of increased 2030target/ 2050 climate objective
- Proposal published in July 2021
- Ongoing negotiations
 - \rightarrow Council of Ministers
 - \rightarrow European Parliament
- Compromise text with or without pathway for GCs... - expected end of year 2022





Energy system impact of granular certification



Granular Certification creates value from different perspectives



Customer perspective Choose and prove the origin of the energy you consume



Transparency and trust Create trust by better reflecting the physics and economics of the grid



System perspective Foster the development and integration of renewable energy sources



System benefits fall into five distinct categories

Additional investments in renewables assets

Incentives to develop and activate flexibility

Driving DSM and energy storage down the "learning curve"

Improved spatial allocation of renewables and flexibility

Reduced dispatch costs and CO2 emissions



How would you rate the impact of GC on each of these aspects until 2030?

Go to menti.com and use the code 9707 6947 or scan the QR code





Survey via Mentimeter



Additional investments in renewables assets

Incentives to develop and activate flexibility

Driving DSM and energy storage down the "learning curve"

Improved spatial allocation of renewables and flexibility

Reduced dispatch costs and CO2 emissions

Survey via Mentimeter



Impact of Granular Certification





A simplified approach to asses the impact on dispatch



Disclaimer

- Here we assume that the flexibility is developed on consumption side to match the generation profile. The opposite model (green baseload PPA) could give different results.
- Quick analysis under strong assumptions (100% flexible load, marginal approach...). Goal is not to calculate a reliable absolute value but rather to get insights on the direction and influence of the portfolio composition.





Generation-matching within the same bidding zone reduces system costs and emissions, even with a small portfolio





 Due to a clear negative correlation between DA spot price / CO2 grid emissions and RES infeed, 100% generation-matching reduces system costs and emissions



Generation-matching is similarly beneficial for larger portfolios but technology has an impact

Price (EUR/kWh)





 Including solar in the portfolio adds incentives to shift the load during the day where the total consumption and thus market prices are high (valid when the PV penetration is moderate)



GC provides similar benefits in areas with a high RES penetration











Cross-border generation-matching does not necessarily make sense from the dispatch perspective





- In theory, XB generation-matching behaviour could increase system costs and emissions.
- In fact, consumers would (at least partially) optimize their flexibility dispatch against local market prices and would not aim at 100% generation-matching.



Conclusions: GC's impact on short-term dispatch

If load and consumption are in the same bidding zone (or in highly interconnected BZs)

- Granular certification (aligning load and RES portfolio's infeed) generally improves the system dispatch both in terms of generation costs and CO2 emissions
- The size of the portfolio doesn't make a significant difference in terms of cost and emissions reduction: the resulting dispatch behavior is similarly beneficial for small and large portfolios

If load and consumption are in BZs with low price convergence

- A full generation-matching does not necessary make sense from the dispatch perspective
- In fact, consumers would, in that case, not aim at full generation-matching but rather align the use of their flexibility on local market prices and emissions



Cross-border exchange mechanism (options)

What is the "cross-border mechanism"?

- In the ETT network prototype, the cancellation (matching) of certificates follows a set of rules **a topology.**
- This ruleset is governed by the ETT network and define the minimum requirements for a valid match.
- The topology is designed to be adaptable (ie. to future regulatory changes). In the prototype network we want to test and demonstrate different topology rules in order to find the best solution.
- ETT aims at enhancing credibility of the cross-border exchange of green energy while ensuring energy system benefits.







In order to define the optimal cross-border exchange mechanisms, we take different interests into account

"This mechanism makes

sure that the 'claim' to be

green also is physically

possible."

Credibility (is realistic and understandable) System Benefit (creates desired effects in the energy system)

"If we do not consider XB limits it could cause **further deviations from the optimal system dispatch**."

Feasibilty (dosen't overburden)

How "realistic" could/should granular tracking be?



Credibility realistic and understandable

Assumptions

- People/NGOs will increase their understanding of the energy industry and the lack of electrical grid capacity will be a visible issue.
- **Temporal matching** is already common ground for temporal matching, the optimal geographical method is still under debate.



Go to menti.com and use the code 9707 6947 or scan the QR code



FNFRGY

Survey via Mentimeter



- How "realistic" should granular tracking be?
 - 1: No geographical matching
 - 3: Bidding zone level, with exchange between bidding zones based on capacities (or similar)
 - 5: Fully determined by power flows

Survey via Mentimeter



How "realistic" should granular tracking be?









3 different cross-border options that we consider implementing in the prototype

Option 1: explicit matching rules based on physical capacities



Description:

- **Explicit IT rules** are applied to the cancellation process (a volume can only be cancelled when physical capacity is present/reserved)
- Available capacity can be allocated based on "first come first serve", explicit booking or an auctioning process (ie. in a step-wise-approach)
- This method has already been presented in our last workshop
 PRO:
- understandable to end-consumers (credibility), medium realistic.

CONTRA:

• Does not incentivize the optimization of dispatch, but limits potential damage. Limits choice/liquidity and adds complexity.

Option 2: explicit matching rules based on statistical price correlations between bidding zones (RFNBO method)



Description:

- Explicit IT rules are applied to the cancellation process (a volume can only be cancelled under certain conditions)
- Exchange is possible when in local bidding zone, or equal prices or cascading prices (in flow direction)
- This method is proposed by the **RFNBO delegated act**

PRO:

- Ensures optimal dispatch behavior (due to price correlations)
- In line with RFNBO regulation

CONTRA:

- Less understandable to end-consumers (credibility)
- Limits choice/liquidity and adds complexity and uncertainty

Option 3: NO explicit rules in the IT system but publication of indicators



Description:

- **NO explicit IT rules** are applied to the cancellation process (a volume can always be cancelled)
- Indicators are published on cancelled volumes in order to create awareness and transparency on physical deliverability
- Indicators can be based on physical capacities OR correlation method (see option 2)
 PRO:
- Free choice for consumers if to do geographical matching
- Indicators may ensure compliance with RFNBO regulation

CONTRA:

- Less credible energy tracking
- Optimal dispatch is not ensured

Go to menti.com and use the code 9707 6947 or scan the QR code



Survey via Mentimeter



- What is the relevance of each cross-border option for you as a consumer?
 - Option 1: explicit rules in the IT system based on **physical capacities** (or DA power flows)
 - Option 2: explicit rules in the IT system based on **statistical price correlations** between bidding zones (RFNBO method)
 - Option 3: NO explicit rules in the IT system but **publication of indicators**

Survey via Mentimeter



What is the relevance of each cross-border option for you as a consumer?





Energy Track and Trace is your digital proof that sustainable energy choice actually makes a difference

See you again on September 15th!